

G R S 58]

Previous references
del 162 - S 8-3, 5-11 13-24-31
B Nov 1915 1916.

29/7/16

File No 245-15-

Serial Nos 142

(Municipal)

Later references

Preserve for 1913

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial No. 142.

Part B for June 1916

SUBJECT.

Information as regards the point whether a Municipal Sanitation is not Public Accountant within the meaning of Regulation 14893 and whether summary action cannot be taken in accordance therewith even if a Court Suit is barred against the defaulter, Sanitation in Bombay Law

To The President, Bangalore Municipal Council

SE 9470 & - 28 6 16

Inform him that a Municipal employee is not held to be a Public Accountant within the meaning of the Regulation 14893

W D 1001-672-20,000-15-12-15

Indore 29/7/16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(rel)

NOTES

File No 245-15

FILE HEADING

Embezzlement of Mool money by the late
Shanubhogue Ranappa of the Bangalore city
Mully

From

The President, Bangalore city Mool Cl

No. 334

Dated 9 5 16

Received 13 5 16

Diary No 4061

Enclos - Spare Copies

Serial No /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Requests to be informed if a Shanubhogue of the Mool Amal
is not a "Public Accountant" within the meaning of Re-
gulation I of 1893 and whether summary action cannot
be taken in accordance with the provisions of Act No 17 even
if a civil suit is barred against the defaulting Shanubhogue
in a Court of law

NOTES AND ORDERS

A Shanubhogue of the Mool Amal is not
 a "Public Accountant" within the meaning
 of Regulation I of 1893 inasmuch as he is
 not in the service of the Govt of Mysore as de-
 fined in Section 3 thereof.

Page 259 of the Mysore
 Case Vol II

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

encl File No 245 of 1915

Serial
No2. Branch III may be consulted in
the matter.

Submitted for orders.

D
J. No. 1624-152/2
18/5/16
21
18/5/163. Br III18/5
20/5-16

4. Subd

27/5/16

5. I agree with the above view &
municipal employee ^{as such} cannot be held to be a
public accountant within the meaning of the
Regulation

27/5

121
28/5

10/11

6. Submitted with draft accordingly.

2/2
30/5/16
31/5

7. for approval of Mr. Secy

Rev Secy

18/5
8/6-169/28
14.6.168
2 minutes

10/13/6/16

9

10/26/6-16

11/23/6/16

10 Issue
24/6-16

Serial
No

2 11 Letter of 9470 & 28 6 16 to the President,
Bangalore Municipal Council

Informing him that the Municipal
employee is not held to be a "Public
Accountant" within the meaning of the
aforesaid Regulation.

11051
B M 109]

Und 245-15-1-8

(4)

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY, DATED 24th MAY 1916

FROM

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA

K P PUTTANNA CHETTY Esq.,

Honorary President, Municipal Council,

Bangalore City

TO

The Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No 3607-2/M1 164-08-31 date the 16th November 1915, directing the amount of Rs. 8,413-10-0, embezzled by the late Shanbhogue Rangappa being written off the accounts I have the honor to state that the matter was discussed in the Municipal Council and I have been desirous to request you to be so good as to inform me (if a Shanbhogue of the Municipal Council is not a public accountant⁹ within the meaning of Regulation I of 1893 and whether summary action cannot be taken in accordance with the provision of the above Regulation even if a Civil Suit is barred against the defaulting Shanbhogue in a Court of Law.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K P Puttanna Chetty
Honorary President.

C.R.G.

hake

1915-16
From The Honorary President
Municipal Council
Bangalore city.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Dated 9th }
Despatched to 12 } May 1916

Received

General No 334
No

Enclosure No ml

Requesting to be informed
if a Shambhoge of the Mun-
icipal Council is not a Public
accountant within the meaning
of Regulation I of 1893 and
whether Summary action cannot
be taken in accordance with
the provisions of that regulation
even if a Civil suit is
brought against the defaulting
Shambhoge in a Court of law.

G R S 26]

5
"A Rural Shamabhogar is not a
Public Accountant" within the
meaning of Reg. I of 1893
File No. 245-15
Serial No 2

Diary No of letter replied to 4061

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Jul)
, the 191

To

No 9470
ml 24 5-15-2
928.6.16
The President

Date of issue

28 6 16

Bangalore of Rural

Council

Signed by

Mr B Subbarao

Sir,

With reference to your
letter no 3342 dt 9th May 1916
enquiring whether a Shamabhogar
of the Rural Council is not a
"Public Accountant" within the
meaning of Regulation I of
1893 and whether summary
action cannot be taken in

over

SUBJECT

acquiescence with the provisions of
that Regulation even if a Civil
suit is barred against the de-
facto King Shambhoo in a
Court of law, I am directed to
inform you that a Municipal
employee ^{is not} ~~cannot~~ be held to be
a "Public Accountant within
the meaning of the afore-
said Regulation

[Handwritten signature]

I have

[Handwritten signature]
13/24/16

to the Secy.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
13/24/16

[Handwritten signature]
30 5 16

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

[Handwritten signature]

